

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT**

P.S. – Police Department – Representation of Smt. G.R.Radhika, Deputy Superintendent of Police, PTC, Karimnagar for expunction of adverse remarks for the year ending 31.3.2011 – Rejected – Orders – Issued.

HOME (SER-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O. Rt. No. 1950

Dated:8-12-2011

Read the following:-

- 1.From Smt. G.R.Radhika, D.S.P., PTC, Karimnagar representation dated 30.9.2011
- 2.From the Hon'ble APAT, Hyderabad interim orders-cum-Notice dated 16.11.2011 in O.A.No.8795/2011
- 3.From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad Lr. C.No.108/SC.C2/2011, dated 29.11.2011

oOo

ORDER:-

The Director General of Police (L&O) vide his D.O. Letter dated 11.8.2011 communicated the following adverse remarks in respect of Smt. G.R.Radhika, D.S.P., PTC, Karimnagar, for the year ending 31.3.2011:

"She is not impartial in her relations with the public and subordinate or superior staff. There are allegations of interference in her official work by her husband who is unemployed and domiciled along with her. Though she did some good work like producing a short film to educate the public to prevent property offences etc. her overall performance requires much improvement in Investigation of SC/ST Cases; Crime against women and Traffic Enforcement. One Mrs. Pallala Rajeswari, petitioned to National Commission for SC/ST that the DSP and her husband were pressuring her to retract from the case in Cr.No.151/2009 u/s 324, Sec 3 of SC/ST (POA) Act, 1989 of Balaji Nagar. Though the stipulated time for completion of investigation of SC/ST cases is 30 days, during the review period, she could finalized only 5 cases within the statutorily stipulated period, of a total of 35 investigated by her and only 4 cases were charged. Though she is a lady police officer, she did not evince adequate interest in resolution of women issues/cases. She did not pay monthly visits to Mahila Police Station and leave visiting Notes. During the review period she paid only one visit. She was not able to enforce traffic and carry out some of the traffic initiatives conceived and grounded by the High-ups. On the allegation of misappropriation of Case Property in Cr.No.45/2010 u/s 454, 380 IPC, IGP, Guntur has ordered an enquiry on Smt. G.Radhika, the Addl.S.P. Prakasam established with evidence that she "played a key role in the recovery of property through Crime police of 3 town Nellore knowing fully well that the offender committed theft of the same in Guntur District and that no case was registered in Nellore District. under her directions only about 10 sovns of gold was recovered but only 6 sovns was shown in a false case". Thus she is guilty of colluding with a notorious property criminal by name Srinivasan Ganapathi @ Modular Ganapathi @ Nanaji, misappropriating part of case property etc., and giving illegal instructions to the Subordinates to register a false case at Nellore even though the crime was committed at Guntur and property belongs to a case of Guntur District. **Her performance is blameworthy and poor**".

P.T.O.,

-:2:-

2. Aggrieved with the adverse remarks, in the reference 1st read above, Smt. G.R.Radhika, Deputy Superintendent of Police submitted a representation requesting the Government for expunction of the said adverse remarks, for the reasons mentioned therein.

3. Smt. G.R.Radhika, D.S.P., also filed O.A.No.8795/2001 before the Hon'ble Tribunal for expunction of the adverse remarks. The Hon'ble APAT, in its interim orders in the reference 2nd read above, directed the respondent No.1 (ie., Government) to consider the representation of the applicant dated 30.9.2011 on merits and as per rules, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the order, and further directed to conduct the DPC for the post of Addl. S.P. after disposal of the representation of the applicant.

4. In the reference 3rd read above, the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, has furnished the remarks of the Reporting Officer and Countersigning Officer stating that they have substantiated the adverse remarks recorded by them in the ACR of the D.S.P. The D.G.P., has reported that the request of Smt. G.R.Radhika, D.S.P. for expunction of adverse remarks in her ACR for the year ending 31.3.2011 is not recommended.

5. After careful examination of the matter, Government hereby **reject** the representation of Smt. G.R.Radhika, Deputy Superintendent of Police, PTC, Karimnagar, for expunction of the adverse remarks for the year ending 31.3.2011.

6. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary further in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**P.GAUTAM KUMAR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad
The individual thro'
the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad
Copy to:-
The Govt. Pleader for Home (S),
A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad
PS to M(Home)
SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER